HAMILTON PARK SPECIAL GENERAL MEETING 3rd May 2014

AGENDA: To vote on the 5 motions put to the Board by petition regarding the IFMP.

Meeting Started: 10.06am

1. That the document circulated to shareholders known as the Integrated Fire Management Plan is to be renamed as the Integrated Ecological Management Plan;

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Mover: Mike Wilson | Seconder: Paul Hepburn |
| Against: Bob ShawDiscussed that the Board is a young board which is fantastic however he is disappointed with the apparent breeches of confidentiality, and where rosters are created yet not open to all shareholders. He also discussed that the motions today do not allow the board to govern, and there would be nothing stopping him from holding another SGM in an effort to pass other motions which dictate how the board should operate. He spoke of the history of the meetings about the IFMP, which included special meetings to explain the Plan.Overall he said the motion/s decrease the opportunity to govern while increasing restrictions on the board. | For: Geoff, then against, with Amendment: |
| Amendment: Geoff Rowland - That the Plan be renamed the “Hamilton Park Common Land Management Plan”. |
| Mover: Geoff | Seconder: Suzie DonLeonardDiscussed the need for an individual plan and it’s purpose for regulation and monitoring.  |
| Against: Frank Wellman initially wanted to amend the Amendment to the “Hamilton Park Common Land Ecological Management Plan” but then changed his mind and spoke For. | For: Frank Wellman |
| Point of Order: Peter Ockenden then wanted to clarify that the Plan was about the management of the common land, with the objective of reducing fire risk. He also agreed with Bob Shaw’s earlier comments. |
| Vote to the Amended Motion: 18 For 3 Against. |

***Summary: That the name will be changed to the “Hamilton Park Common Land Management Plan”.***

1. That all shareholders are given the opportunity for input, in writing, into any draft document, that input be acknowledged by the board in writing to the individual shareholder submitting same and that a closing date be set by the Special General Meeting for any such input;

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Mover: Marcus LudemanMarcus said he’d knocked on doors and on one occasion he was met at the door and abused. He said that people can’t say that people haven’t got it because the board did the letter drop by hand to everyone. | Seconder: Brett Webber |
| Against: Sheree McKenzieTalked about the restrictions placed on the board if writing and permission were required for any draft document the board were working on. | For: Tracey/Ken RasmussenCommented that the SGM had followed the Act and that not everyone had received a copy of the Draft.Ken said that everyone had the right to have a say. |
| Against: Bob ShawCommented that this motion prevents the board doing their job, that shareholders can join the board or attend meetings to have their say. He said the motion is not necessary and is just a move to prevent/delay the introduction of the IFMP. | For: |
| Amendment: Suzie DonLeonard then called for the motion to be amended to: That all shareholders are given the opportunity for input, in writing, into any draft document that has significant financial, social and/or environmental impact on HP shareholders, that input be acknowledged by the board in writing to the individual shareholder submitting same and that a closing date be set by the Board for any such input.Suzie went on to say that any pending changes should be put in the newsletter and on the website where all shareholders can access documents as they wish, and neighbours can print documents for those who don’t have access to technology.Also that a Standard Letter of acknowledgement be sent to the individual who provided written feedback to the Board. |
| Mover: Suzie | Seconder: |
| Against: Frank WellmanCommented the motion is to do with the IFMP and that people have had ample time to have input.  | For: Mike Wilson.There needs to be input from shareholders every time there’s a big decision. |
| Vote to the Amended Motion: 16 Against, 5 For. |
| Vote to the Original Motion: 15 Against, 6 For. |

***Summary: Motion No. 2 was not passed.***

1. That the Hamilton Park Board of Directors develop an Emergency Fire Plan incorporating the Glenrowan – Hamilton Park CFA bushfire information guide, the Hamilton Park Co-operative Telephone Tree and provisions for cleanup after a fire event and that Plan be placed on the agenda to be adopted by the AGM;

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Mover: Mike Wilson | Seconder: Ken Rasmussen |
| Against: Bob ShawTabled an Extract from minutes in 2011 and the AGM in 2012 whereby it was voted that “”the Board continue to develop the IFMP”. He went on to say shareholders have been given ample time to be involved, that the plan has been on the website since Oct ’13, 13 board meetings have since been held, and that an Information meeting was held Feb ’14 and some fantastic suggestions were made. However since the petition for the SGM was called it meant the board did not have the opportunity to respond to proposed changes made as a result of the Info meeting.Point of Order: Sheree McKenzie also commented that at the next Board meeting after the Info Meeting two members of the board left the meeting prior to the recommendations from the Info Meeting being discussed. | For: Tracey/KenCommented that a plan needs to be developed by the Board regarding what to do in the event of a fire emergency. |
| Against: Sheree McKenzie | For |
| Amendment: Sheree McKenzie – That a Steering Committee be formed with a representative from the Board to develop a document “What to do in the event of an Emergency” and it be adopted by shareholders of Hamilton Park. |
| Mover: Sheree McKenzie | Seconder: Caroline Robinson |
| Against: Tracey Rasmussen | For |
| Vote to the Amended Motion: 19 For, 3 Against. |

***Summary: The amended motion was passed - That a Steering Committee be formed with a representative from the Board to develop a document “What to do in the event of an Emergency” and it be adopted by shareholders of Hamilton Park.***

1. That no final decisions relating to the adoption of any such plans by the Co-operative are made except by the Annual General Meeting:

|  |
| --- |
| Point of Order: Peter Ockenden sought clarification of the motion that isn’t that what happens at an AGM anyway?Marcus Ludeman responded by saying that the board was going to vote on whether to adopt the IFMP.Q. Caroline Robinson then questioned “well what is the purpose of the Board?”. A. Marcus Ludeman said that if they are responsible for major changes ie. financial, social, environmental, then it should be with the backing of shareholders. |
| Mover: Ken Rasmussen | Seconder: Brett ? |
| Against: Bob Shaw.Spoke about the implication of “any such plans” eg. draft plans such as roles, website, solar needing to go to an AGM to be passed each time. He said “let the Board govern” and what’s stopping him or anyone getting 20% of shareholders together to hold a SGM every time they are not happy with something.Caroline Robinson also supported Bob Shaw’s comments. | For |
| Vote: For 3, Against 18 |

***Summary: Motion No. 4 was not passed.***

1. That a motion be placed on the agenda for the Annual General Meeting recognising the efforts of non shareholders in the preparation of any such plans.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Mover: Gill ? | Seconder: Sheree McKenzie |
| Against: Frank Wellman. | For |
| Amendment: Frank then called for an amendment to replace the wording from “any such plans” with “the Hamilton Park Common Land Management Plan”. |
| Mover: Frank Wellman | Seconder: Jodie Gottschling |
| Q. Caroline Robinson – asked “how would this motion apply to other plans?”A. Marcus Ludeman – responded by saying that recognition could be in the form of payment, or a letter |
| Against: Mike WilsonWanted to make the point that he does not like “outsiders” coming into HP and sticking their noses in.Point of Order: Marcus agreed with Mike.Point of Order: Bob Shaw said that giving recognition is already in the plan, it’s enough, and on one occasion money was given as a show of recognition. | For |
| Frank Wellman then withdrew his amendment saying there was no reason for the motion. |
| Vote on Original Motion: Against 21, For 0. |

***Summary: Motion No. 5 was not passed.*** Meeting closed at 11.35am